Programme Inform	mation & PLOs											
Title of the new prog	ramme – including any year abroad/ in industry variants											
BA Social & Political Sci	ences with Philosophy											
Level of qualification												
Please select:	Level 6											
Year in Industry												
Diago indicate if the	Please indicate if the programme is offered with any year abroad / in industry variants											
Please mulcate ii the	programme is offered with any year abroad / in moustry	Variatits		Year Abroad								
				Please select Y/N	Yes							
Department(s):												
Where more than on	e department is involved, indicate the lead department											
Lead Department	Politics											
Other contributing												
Departments:	Sociology, Social Policy & Social Work and Philosophy											
Programme Leade	or .											

Mrs Auriel Hamilton - School Coordinator

Dr Simon Parker - Director of School

Work on implementation of the Department of **Philosophy** aspects of the Pedagogy has been undertaken in parallel with a large-scale review and reform of the second year Philosophy programmes, centrally involving a move from a diet comprised largely from four large-population 30-credit 'Pathway' modules to three bands of smaller-population 20-credit Key Ideas modules and the introduction of novel tutorial modules, on an initial trial basis. These radical changes to the Philosophy second year have been agreed by BoS and should be implemented in 2017–18. The previous module geometry for Stage 2 Philosophy was entirely compatible with those of the contributing SPS departments but the new curriculum design introduces an element of asymmetry. PLEASE NOTE: (i) The programme described below (including in the Programme Structure and Programme Map) is the revised programme including the Philosophy changes to Year 2. (ii) The Enhancement Plan will give some further details of these already-fully-agreed changes and their motivation. (iii) Notes on the changes that have already been fully agreed by BoS will be clearly distinguished from notes on further changes which are projected to the programme (including further possible changes to Year 2). The **School of SPS** has been kept informed of these developments but we have not had, and nor would we expect direct input into the deliberations of the Philosophy Board of Studies. To a lesser extent, the same constraints apply to curriculum and assessment reforms that have been introduced or planned by the main contributing departments. The School of SPS therefore needs to be flexible in responding to new pedagogical innovations and aspirations from the contributing departments, which can limit our ability to forward plan. We are, however, confident that the Programme Learning Outcomes of all four departments are broadly aligned which is testament to an underlying shared pedagogic culture in the social sciences and philosophy at the University of York.

Statement of purpose for applicants to the programme

The BA in Social and Political Sciences with Philosophy aims to equip you with the social scientific and philosophical knowledge and skills that are essential to understanding and meeting the challenges of a complex, interconnected global society. The inclusion of in-depth consideration of philosophical issues and methods will sharpen your skills in analysis and the critical evaluation of ideas and theories through systematic logical argument. While the SPS with Philosophy degree is strongly interdisciplinary, it is distinctive in allowing students to design their own programme of study alongside a common foundational and research methods skills programme. Graduates emerge as well rounded and well-trained social scientists with excellent analytical and communication skills and with the essential knowledge and critical understanding needed for higher degrees and graduate careers in the private, public and not-for-profit sectors.

Programme Learning Outcomes

Please provide six to eight statements of what a graduate of the programme can be expected to do.

Taken together, these outcomes should capture the distinctive features of the programme. They should also be outcomes for which progressive achievement through the course of the programme can be articulated, and which will therefore be reflected in the design of the whole programme.

PLO	On suppossful completion of the averagement graduates will be able to
PLO	On successful completion of the programme, graduates will be able to:
1	Make critical and analytical contributions to our collective understanding of emerging global issues and the changing social and political world by continually engaging with social scientific and philosophical theories and research. Graduates will be equipped with the knowledge and expertise required for higher degrees in the social sciences and equivalent level qualifications.
	Relate theoretical and applied knowledge in the social sciences and philosophy to contemporary social and political problems and issues of value and draw on insights from individual disciplines in the analysis of broader questions and challenges.
3	Understand and explain key problems, issues, and debates across a range of areas of philosophy and the social and political sciences (including some at the forefront of contemporary work) and apply this understanding in an open-minded and creative way in approaching new problems.
4	Lay out what can be said for and against proposed solutions to philosophical problems, and make a measured judgement about what is the best solution in each case, supporting that judgement with a sustained line of argument based on the considerations raised.
	Design and undertake ethical and responsible research projects which draw upon appropriate research techniques and statistical expertise, in order to challenge commonly held assumptions, evaluate real-life interactions and test proposed hypotheses.
6	
	Operate as resourceful, self-directed and reflective professionals and researchers, reflecting critically on personal strengths and limitations, and continuing to learn and develop skills.
7	
	Synthesise and communicate complex, sensitive information and arguments to diverse audiences, in appropriate formats, via a range of media and digital technologies.
8	
	Work creatively with teams, multi-agency groups, institutions and the public in ways that are considered, and respectful of the views, values and cultural position of others.

Programme Learning Outcome for year in industry (where applicable)

For programmes which lead to the title 'with a Year in Industry' – typically involving an additional year – please provide either a) amended versions of some (at least one, but not necessarily all) of the standard PLOs listed above, showing how these are changed and enhanced by the additional year in industry b) an additional PLO, if and only if it is not possible to capture a key ability developed by the year in industry by alteration of the standard PLOs.

NA

Programme Learning Outcome for year abroad programmes (where applicable)

For programmes which lead to the title 'with a Year Abroad' – typically involving an additional year – please provide either a) amended versions of some (at least one, but not necessarily all) of the standard PLOs listed above, showing how these are changed and enhanced by the additional year abroad or b) an additional PLO, if and only if it is not possible to capture a key ability developed by the year abroad by alteration of the standard PLOs.

- 1. Make critical and analytical contributions to our collective understanding of emerging global issues and the changing social and political world by continually engaging with social scientific and philosophical theories and research in different national contexts. Graduates will be equipped with the knowledge and expertise required for higher degrees in the social sciences and equivalent level qualifications.
- 2. Relate theoretical and applied knowledge in the social sciences and philosophy to contemporary social and political problems in different national contexts and draw on insights from individual disciplines in the analysis of broader questions and challenges.
- 3. Understand and explain key problems, issues, and debates across a range of areas of philosophy and the social and political sciences (including some at the forefront of contemporary work) and apply this understanding in an open-minded and creative way in approaching new problems.
- 4. Lay out what can be said for and against proposed solutions to philosophical problems, and make a measured judgement about what is the best solution in each case, supporting that judgement with a sustained line of argument based on the considerations raised.
- 5. Design and undertake ethical and responsible research projects which draw upon appropriate research techniques and statistical expertise, in order to challenge commonly held assumptions, evaluate real-life interactions and test proposed hypotheses.
- 6. Operate as resourceful, self-directed and reflective professionals and researchers, reflecting critically on personal strengths and limitations, and continuing to learn and acquire skills developed in culturally and nationally diverse teaching and learning environments.
- 7. Synthesise and communicate complex, sensitive information and arguments to diverse audiences, in appropriate formats, via a range of media and digital technologies.
- 8. Work creatively with teams, multi-agency groups, institutions and the public in ways that are considered, and respectful of the views, values and cultural position of others.
- iii) How the programme learning outcomes develop students' digital literacy and will make appropriate use of technology-enhanced learning (such as lecture recordings, online resources, simulations, online assessment, 'flipped classrooms' etc)?

This is most explicitly addressed in PLO 7. Our School continues to work closley with its contributing departments and learning support teams in incorporating media and digital technologies in the design of its programmes of study. The School uses the VLE from pre-registration to inform students of module options and induction activities, while in each of the three stages, key administrative, welfare and teaching resources are regularly updated along with extra curricular activities and careers and employability events on the VLE and School website. Through our contributing departments SPS students benefit from digital media based teaching resources including lecture capture, wikis and module blogs. In Politics PLO 7 reflects the progressive development of student's digital literacy through the programme. The new What is Politics? module makes use of student blogs to promote collaborative work and online feedback. Lecture Capture is being trialled in core modules and the Political Enquiry module now make use of self-lecture capture.

In the Social Policy programme digital literacy is developed both vertically through the stages of progression to final attainment and horizontally as part of the learning and assessment strategy for each of the modules. Different elements of digital literacy are addressed at foundational, intermediate and more advanced levels through the stages. While level 1 enables foundational literacy, modules at levels 2 and 3 aim for depth of skill development rather than breadth. Digital literacy development is directly linked to the practices relevant to the discipline, for example, engagement with policy debate which inludes both academic knowledge and understanding, and the ability to undertake engagement activities across social media fora and to contribute as well as utilise online information sources. The Philosophy programme makes extensive use of technology-enhanced learning. A large proportion of lectures are available in online recordings. Modules are supported with extensive online resources, including module outlines, lecture notes, seminar guidance, readings, and supplementary notes. Online submission is used regularly and some modules feature online assessments (e.g. Beginning Philosophy). Most of our contributing departments have now moved entirely to online submission and online assessment in all undergraduate modules. The School website and VLE coordinates information regarding submision deadlines and protocols for each of the four departments. The School was also one of the first joint programmes involved in the Online Module Catalogue and the School Coordinator works closely with the academic support team to suggest improvements and better functionality. Planned ehancements for the Social Research Methods module for the Summer Term of Stage 2 will involve training in the use of digital media for research. Use of video and video editing skills forms a key part of the digital literacy programme that is currently incorporated within the Stage 3 dissertation module (SPS Forum). Digital technologies will a

iv) How the PLOs support and enhance the students' employability (for example, opportunities for students to apply their learning in a real world setting)? The programme's employability objectives should be informed by the University's Employability Strategy:

http://www.vork.ac.uk/about/departments/support-and-admin/careers/staff/

The School works closely with the Careers Service to offer information and networking events with former social science graduates and alumni at all stages of the programme. Student course representatives and the SPS Society are supported in providing additional employer recruitment and networking events. The School enhancement plans include an application to the University's rapid response fund to set up a peer mentoring system for undergraduates that will also contribute to the skills evidence for the York Award. We are also planning to develop internship opportunities related to appropriate dissertation research themes during the summer vacation prior to the commencement of Stage 3. Transferable skills and employability workshops are integrated into the Stage 3 dissertation module - the SPS Forum.

vi) How will students who need additional support for academic and transferable skills be identified and supported by the Department?

Students are introduced to key academic skills in each of their core modules in Stage 1 and performance is monitored by SPS supervisors with additional oversight from the director. We identify students with particular learning needs and where appropriate consult with the Disability Office in the drawing up of a learning support plan that is shared with module tutors and the examinations office (and where appropriate the library and careers service). In Stage 2 - the Social Research Methods module provides a further opportunity to monitor the progress of students in terms of key research and team work skills, and issues are picked up through for example referrals by module tutors and formative and summative assessments. In Stage 3 - all finalists are required to attend and contribute to the SPS Forum which includes advanced study and research skills and employability workshops. Module convenors from the contributing departments offer open-door hours where students can seek help and it is expected that personal supervisors will discuss these issues with individuals and provide advice and support for additional training and learning in these areas.

vii) How is teaching informed and led by research in the department/ centre/ University?

Students benefit from the research-led approach to teaching in all four departments. Our modules are taught by academics at the forefront of research across the social sciences and philosophy --from criminology to social psychology and contemporary and ancient philosophy. As international experts in their field, contributing staff advise governments and organisations and regularly contribute to news and current affairs programmes globally. Our expertise and experience feed directly into our teaching. In particular, our uniquely wide range of module options at Stage 2 and 3 provide opportunities to explore specialised research areas on which SPS staff are currently working.

Stage-level progression

Please complete the table below, to summarise students' progressive development towards the achievement of PLOs, in terms of the characteristics that you expect students to demonstrate at the end of each year. This summary may be particularly helpful to students and the programme team where there is a high proportion of option modules.

Note: it is not expected that a position statement is written for each PLO, but this can be done if preferred (please add information in the 'individual statement' boxes). For a statement that applies across all PLOs in the stage fill in the 'Global statement' box.

Stage 0 (if your programme has a Foundation year, use the toggles to the left to show the hidden rows)

Stage 1

On progression from th	e first year (Stage 1), students will be	able to:	sciences and the way to apply knowledge a to understand the eth students to reflect cri students with digital and to collaborate in In Philosophy, studen level of difficulty) tha readings), with signifi articulate key differer understanding, and to sensitivity to key feat	is in which these can be applied theories drawn from the hical challenges faced by reseitically on their written and clearning platforms such as Yo a supportive way with their at sare exposed to carefully fit they are not required to go icant support from introductinces between the positions coop be able to make evaluative cures of arguments such as yo ophical texts: expressing their	ed to addressing problems (F social and political sciences to earchers undertaking research aral work and to make effection brkshare; PO8 will help stude peers. Tamed presentations of issues beyond (as the aim at this story material and guiding quest considered, to rehearse argur judgements about positions alidity and plausibility of pren	e major disciplinary traditions (PLO1). Relatedly PLO2 will ension explore real world problems in the social and political scipe use of written and oral fedients to demonstrate a capacity as and debates with selected reage is to focus attention and gestions. Students are expected nents accurately with a reason and arguments based on the nisses. Students are expected is, listening carefully to the co	ure that students are able i; PLO5 will enable students ence; PLO6 will encourage back; PLO7 will familiarise it to undertake group work eadings (of an appropriate get to grips with those to be able to recognize and hable level of evidence, showing to be able to engage in
PLO 1	PLO 2	PLO 3	PLO 4	PLO 5	PLO 6	PLO 7	PLO 8
Identify the key critical and analytical contributions to social scientific knowledge. Students will progress with a solid foundational knowledge of the distinctive claims of political science, sociology, social policy and philosophy as discrete disciplines and their common theoretical and methodolgical features.	Demonstrate an ability to relate theoretical and applied knowledge in the social sciences and philosophy to contemporary social and political problems. They will also demonstrate a capacity to use insights drawn from individual disciplines to critique and challenge rival explanations of the social and political world.	Understand and explain the main features of some key problems, issues, and debates presented in module materials; understand and explain some key fundamental concepts, terminology, and notation required for understanding philosophical texts and discussions; and, in relation to particular problems and issues, be able to articulate some alternative solutions laid out in central module materials (including set readings, lecture slides, and handouts)	Articulate arguments laid out in central module materials for and against the alternative solutions considered in relation to a particular problem; and make a judgement about what is the best view on a particular problem, relating this to the evidence considered, showing sensitivity to key features of arguments including validity and plausibility of premisses	issues and methodological approaches of applied research in the social sciences and philosophy.	Through formative and summative individual assessments and group work reflect critically on personal strengths and limitations while continuing to learn and develop skills within and beyond the curriculum.	Use media and digital technologies to share and access social scientific and philosophical knowledge within their study groups and for the purposes of assessment.	Show an awareness of the importance of effective collaboration in group work and the need to respect the views, values and cultural position of others in teaching and learning interactions.

On progression from th	e second year (Stage 2), students will	be able to:	In Philosophy, studen philosophy. They are materials and/or are Year 1. They are expected to	the philosophy, evaluating their the strain of the strain	coherence and usefulness in casonable depth and breadth duced to the issues, but they s of developing their own und tanding of key problems, issu	of knowledge and understand are expected to tackle more d derstanding and exploring the es, positions, and arguments i er and weigh objections to cen	ling in a key topic area in ifficult puzzles and relevant literature than in n clear and concise terms.
PLO 1	PLO 2	PLO 3	PLO 4	PLO 5	PLO 6	PLO 7	PLO 8
Deploy critical and analytical skills to test and challenge our understanding of emerging global issues and the changing social and political world through engagement with philosophical and social scientific theories and research. Students will progress with the capability to assess and critique the distinctive claims of political science, sociology and social policy as discrete disciplines and their common theoretical and methodolgical features.	Understand and be familiar with the theoretical vocabulary of the social and political sciences and key philosophical concepts in order to produce independent work that meets the explanatory criteria of the relevant disciplines and shows an ability to relate concepts and theories to practical problems.	Understand and explain key problems, issues, and debates across a range of areas of philosophy, and develop and articulate ranges of alternative	Articulate arguments for and against the alternative solutions considered in relation to a particular problem, drawing on module materials, and identify some points of weakness and some potential options for development; Make a judgement about what is the best view on a particular problem and argue in	Undertake training in the key quantitative and qualitative research technqiues used in the social sciences taking into account appropriate ethical and practical considerations for practice.	Building on knowledge gained from taught modules and assessments and feedback to		Produce work for assessmenthat is the product of group collaboration and share
	s) On progression from the third year (Stage 3), students will be a	ble				
PLO 1	PLO 2	PLO 3	PLO 4	PLO 5	PLO 6	PLO 7	PLO 8
	102	103	1107	rto 3	FLO 0	rto /	100
Programme Struct	ture						

Module Structure and Summative Assessment Map

Please complete the summary table below which shows the module structure and the pattern of summative assessment through the programme.

'Option module' can be used in place of a specific named option. If the programme requires students to select option modules from specific lists these lists should be provided in the next section.

From the drop-down select 'S' to indicate the start of the module, 'A' to indicate the timing of each distinct summative assessment point (eg. essay submission/ exam), and 'E' to indicate the end of the module (if the end of the module coincides with the summative assessment select 'EA'). It is not expected that each summative task will be listed where an overall module might be assessed cumulatively (for example weekly problem sheets).

If summative assessment by exams will be scheduled in the summer Common Assessment period (weeks 5-7) a single 'A' can be used within the shaded cells as it is understood that you will not know in which week of the CAP the examination will take place.

Stage 0 (if you have modules for Stage 0, use the toggles to the left to show the hidden rows)

redits		Module		_	_	Αι	utum	n Ter	m							S	pring	g Term	1							Sun	nmer	Term			
	Code	Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 1
30	POL00008C	What is Politics?	S													А										Е			EA		
30	SPY00002C	Introducing Social Policy		S									Α													E					
30	SOC00002C	Introduction to Sociological Theory		S								Α										Α			Е				Α		
10	PHI00002C	Early Modern Philosophy [either/or with Reason and Argument B]		S								E	A																		
10	PHI00006C	Reason and Argument B [either/or with Early Modern Philosophy]		S								E	А																		
20	PHI00008C	Knowledge and Perception [either/or with Ethics]												s								E	Α								
20	PHI00007C	Ethics [either/or with Knowledge and Perception]												s								E						Α			
0	PHI00012C	Beginning Philosophy (online Philosophy skills module)		s					į													EA									

Credits		Module				Αι	ıtum	n Tei	m							S	pring	g Term								Sur	nmer	Term				
	Code	Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
30	SOC00003I	Social Research Methods		S								Α											А		E				Α			
30	Various	Politics Module (LIST A)		S									Α																Α			
30	Various	Sociology Module (LIST B)		S																		Α			Е				Α			
20		Philosophy Key Ideas Module – Autumn (LIST C) AND \$		S								E	А																			
10		Philosophy Option Module (EITHER/OR with Philosophy Short Module) – Spring (LIST D) AND \$												S								E						A				

10	Various	Philosophy Short Module (EITHER/OR with Philosophy Option Module) — Spring (LIST D) AND \$ (Note: Short modules are based on the teaching for Key Ideas modules, but with formal contact ending after 5 weeks)							S		E		A					
30	Various	\$ Social Policy Module (LIST E) \$ Students must take 1 keys ideas and 1 Short or Option Philosophy module in stage 2; students must NOT take all of these 30 credits of modules in the same term	S					А							E	Α		

Stage 3																																
Credits		Module				Αι	utum	n Te	rm								Sprin	g Term	า							Sui	mmei	Term				
	Code	Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
20	Various	Philosophy Research-Led Module (Autumn) (LIST F)		s								E		А																		
20	Various	Philosophy Research-Led Module (Spring) (LIST F)												S								E		Α								
40	SPS00002H	Dissertation		S																								EA				
20	Various	Social Policy Option (LIST G)	S									Е	Α																			
20	Various	Politics Option (LIST H)		s								Е	Α																	Ì		
20	Various	Sociology Option (LIST I)												S							Е		Α							Ì		
		One third year philosophy module (20 credits) must be taken in Stage 3 (students are NOT allowed to take more than one Philosophy module in Stage 3). Students must take AT LEAST one module from Sociology, Social Policy and Politics over the final two																														

Stage 4

Optional module lists

years.

If the programme requires students to select option modules from specific lists these lists should be provided below. If you need more space, use the toggles on the left to reveal ten further hidden rows.

Option List A - POL 2	Option List B - SOC 2	Option List C - PHIL 2 Key Ideas (Example list only: Modules available will vary from year to year)	Option List D - PHIL 2 Short Module/ Option Module (Example list only: Modules available will vary from year to year)	Option List E - SP 2	Option List F - PHIL 3 (Example list only: Modules available will vary from year to year)	Option List G - SP 3	Option List H - POL 3
Contemporary Political Philosophy POL00004I	Gender, Sexuality & Inequalities SOC00001I	Hume - PHI00081I (History of Philosophy)	Philosophy of Language* PHI00086I (Short Module)	Debates in Criminal Justice SPY00025I	Philosophy of Physics PHI00013H (Aut)	Criminal Justice & Policing SPY00011H (Aut)	Governing the Global Economy POL00009H (Aut)
History of Political Thought POL00005I	Popular Culture, Media & Society SOC00004I	Philosophy of Language - PHI00073I* (Theoretical Philosophy)	Ethical Theory PHI00083I (Short Module)	Understanding Childhood & Youth SPY00023I	Personal Identity PHI00005H (Aut)	Housing Policy SPY00001H (Aut)	British Foreign Policy after the Cold War POL00011H (Aut)
State, Economy & Society POL00006I	Contemporary Political Sociology SOC00005I	Spinoza & Leibniz - PHI00077I (History of Philosophy)	Religious Ethics PHI00094I (Short Module)	Citizenship, Difference and Inequality SPY00018I	Philosophy of Art from Hume to Tolstoy PHI00091H (Aut)	Crime & Punishment LAW00045H (Aut)	Territory and Conflict in the former Soviet Union POL00023H (Aut)
War & Peace POL00007I	Social Interaction & Conversation Analysis SOC00006I	History of Ethics - PHI00076I (Value)	Intermediate Logic* PHI00095I (Short Module)	The Policy Process SPY00002I	Philosophy of Christianity PHI00058H (Aut)	Poverty & Inequality SPY00036H (Aut)	Citizens, Parties & Elections in Contemporary Democracies POL00036H (Aut)
Politics in the United Kingdom POL00008I	Sociology of Health & Illness SOC00007I	Ethical Theory - PHI00082I (Value)	Metaphysics PHI00092I (Short Module)	Comparative Social Policy SPY00001I	Consciousness PHI00018H (Aut)	Welfare State in Crisis SPY00035H (Aut)	Political Participation and Democracy POL00041H (Aut)
US National Security after the Cold War POL00035I	Science in Society SOC00016I	Religious Ethics - PHI00072I (Value)	Philosophy of Mind PHI00093I (Short Module)	Victimisation and Social Harm SPY00016I	Language & Mind PHI00046H (Aut)	Love, Intimacy and Family Life SPY00019H (Aut)	Global Politics of Nuclear Weapons POL00043H (Aut)
Comparative Politics POL00037I		Intermediate Logic* PHI00096I (Theoretical Philosophy)	Aesthetics PHI00067I (Short Module)		Suffering and the Good Life PHI00096H (Aut)	Death & Policy SPY00038H (Spr)	Green Politics POL00010H (Spr)
		Metaphysics* PHI00074I (Theoretical Philosophy)	Philosophy of Science* PHI00085I (Short Module)		Foundations of Mathematics PHI00017H (Spr)	Gender, Citizenship & the Welfare State SPY00032H (Spr)	The Labour Party & Socialism POL00021H (Spr)
Option List I - SOC 3		Philosophy of Mind PHI00078I (Theoretical Philosophy)	Applied Ethics PHI00084I (Short Module)		Merleau-Ponty PHI00007H (Spr)	Illicit Drug Use SPY00012H (Spr)	Ethnicity & Conflict POL00024H (Spr)
Paranormal in Society SOC00009H (Aut)		Aesthetics PHI00091I (Value)	Effective Altruism PHI00056I (Reading)		Philosophy of Emotions PHI00081H (Spr)	Prisons and Penal Policy SPY00046H (Spr)	The Politics and Security in Africa POL00050H (Spr)
Birth, Marriage & Death SOC00023H (Aut)		Aristotle PHI00066I (History of Philosophy)	John McDowell PHI00049I		German Idealism PHI00073H (Spr)	Wellbeing of Children & Young People SPY00020H (Spr)	Regionalism in World Politics POL00051H (Spr)

Advanced Social Theory SOC00032H (Aut)		Imagination PHI00042I (Lect/Sem)	Analytic Aesthetics PHI00100H (Spr)	Youth Justice SPY00021H (Spr)	Ethics and International Politics POL00052H (Spr)
Humans & Other Animals SOC00007H (Aut)	PHI00075I	Introspection PHI00068I (Reading)	Wittgenstein and Philosophy PHI00097H (Spr)		
Cinema, Cities & Crime SOC00035H (Aut)	Philosophy of Science* PHI00080I	Philosophy of Time PHI00008I (Lect/Sem)	Value and the Meaning of Life PHI00075H (Spr)		
Art, Tastes & Stratification SOC00013H (Spr)	Applied Ethics PHI00079I (Value)	Paradoxes PHI00021I (Lect/Sem)	Contemporary Issues in Bioethics PHI00002H (Spr)		
Body & Society SOC00003H (Spr)	*Reason & Argument is a prerequisite for these Key Ideas and Short/Option modules	,	Causation & Laws PHI00095H (Spr)		
The Racial State SOC00039H (Spr)		Hegel PHI00071I (Reading)			
Performance & Society SOC00026H (Spr)		William James PHI00009I (Reading)			
Imagining Sociological Alternatives SOC00046H (Spr)		Rousseau PHI00063I (Reading)			
		Social Epistemology PHI00040I (Reading)			
		God & Morality PHI00007I (Reading)			

Management and Adr	missions In	formation						
This document applies to					2017/18			
			on undergraduate programmes (sul		_	•		
(Level 4/Certificate), Diplo	ma of Highe	r Education (L	evel 5/Intermediate), Ordinary Deg	ree and in the case of	Integrate	d Masters the Bachelo	rs with h	onours. Please
specify any proposed exce	ptions to thi	s norm.						
Admissions Criteria								
TYPICAL OFFERS								
A levels								
BBB								
AAB for L611, LL32 and LL2V								
IB Diploma Programme								
31 points								
35 points for L611, LL32 and LL2V								
BTEC Extended Diploma								
DDM								
DDD for L611, LL32								
and LL2V								
Length and status of the p	programme(s	s) and mode(s) of study					
Programme	Length	Status (full-	Start dates/months			Mode		
	(years)	time/part-	(if applicable – for programmes			5		0.1
		time)	that have multiple intakes or	Face-to-face, campu	s-based	Distance learning	ng	Other
		Please	start dates that differ from the					
		select	usual academic year)					
				Please select Y/N		Please select Y/N		
Language(s) of study								
English.								
Language(s) of assessmen	nt							
English.								

Programme accredi	tation by Professional, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRB)
Is the programme reco	gnised or accredited by a PSRB
Please Select Y/N:	if No move to next Section
	if Yes complete the following questions
Name of PSRB	
Are there any condition	ns on the approval/accreditation of the programme(s)/ graduates (for example accreditation only for the full award and not any interim award)
Additional Profession	onal or Vocational Standards
Are there any additions	al requirements of accrediting bodies or PSRB or pre-requisite professional experience needed to study this programme?
Please Select Y/N:	if Yes, provide details
(max 200 words)	
University award re	gulations
The University's award and the end of this document	d assessment regulations apply to all programmes: any exceptions that relate to this programme are approved by University Teaching Committee and are recorded at
Are students on the pro	ogramme permitted to take elective modules?
(See: https://www.yorl	k.ac.uk/media/staffhome/learningandteaching/documents/policies/Framework%20for%20Programme%20Design%20-%20UG.pdf)
Please Select Y/N:	
Careers & Placemer	ts - 'With Placement Year' programmes
Students on all undergr	aduate and integrated masters programmes may apply to spend their third year on a work-based placement facilitated by Careers & Placements.
	turn to their studies at Stage 3 in the following year, thus lengthening their programme by a year. Successful completion of the placement year and
	allows this to be recognised in programme title, which is amended to include 'with Placement Year' (e.g. BA in XYZ with Placement Year'). The
	ds a Programme Learning Outcome, concerning employability. (See Careers & Placements for details).
•	ances, UTC may approve an exemption from the 'Placement Year' initiative. This is usually granted only for compelling reasons concerning partment already has a Year in Industry with criteria sufficiently generic so as to allow the same range of placements; or if the programme is less
than three years in leng	

Programme excluded from Placement Year? No If yes, what are the reasons for this exemption:	
Study Abroad (including Year Abroad as an additional year and replacement y	ear)
Students on all programmes may apply to spend Stage 2 on the University-wide North Ameri programme is on a competitive basis. Marks from modules taken on replacement years coun	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Does the programme include the opportunity to undertake other formally agreed study abro	pad activities? All such programmes must comply with the Policy on Study
Abroad	
https://www.york.ac.uk/staff/teaching/procedure/programmes/design/	
Please Select Y/N: No	
Additional information	
Transfers out of or into the programme	
ii) Transfers into the programme will be possible? (please select Y/N)	
Additional details:	
ii) Transfers out of the programme will be possible?	
(please select Y/N)	
Additional details:	
Exceptions to University Award Regulations approved by University Teaching Committee	
Exception Please detail any exceptions to University Award Regulations approved by UTC Date	e approved
Date on which this programme information was updated:	

Please note:

The information above provides a concise summary of the main features of the programme and the learning outcomes that a typical student might reasonably be expected to achieve and demonstrate if they take full advantage of the learning opportunities that are provided.

Detailed information on the learning outcomes, content, delivery and assessment of modules can be found in the module descriptions.

The University reserves the right to modify this overview in unforeseen circumstances, or where the process of academic development, based on feedback from staff, students, external examiners or professional bodies, requires a change to be made. Students will be notified of any substantive changes at the first available opportunity.

Programme Map

Please note: the programme map below is in interim format pending the development of a University Programme Catalogue.

Course Stru	ucture BA (H	Hons)				
	•	ences with I	Philosophy			
(31 3)						
UCAS Code	LL2V (SPS	with PHIL)				
		,				
BA (H	ons) Social and	d Political Scier	nces with Philo	sophy		
Autumn Term	,	Spring Term		Summer term		
		Stage 1				
,	What is Politics?	POL00008C (3	0 Credits, Core))		
Introducti	on to Sociologic	al Theory SOC0	0002C (30 Cred	lits, Core)		
Intro		olicy SPY00002	•			
	Autumn Term		<u>Spring</u>	<u>a Term</u>		
Early Modern	n PhilosophyPH	100002C (10	Knowledge 8	& Perception		
	Credits)	•	PHI00008C	(20 Credits)		
D ₀	OR ason & Argume	nt*		<u>PR</u> n to Ethics*		
	(20 credits)					
PHI00006C (10 credits) PHI00007C (20 credits) Online Philosophy Skills tutorial VLE based (0 credits)						
		Stage 2		,		
Soci	Social Research Methods SOC00003I (30 Credits, Core)					
One Key Ideas Philosophy module (20 credits) and one Short/Option module (10 credits) from PHIL 2**						
Option from SOC2, POL2 or SP2 (30 Credits)						
Option from SOC2, POL2 or SP2 (30 Credits)						
		Stage 3				
One Philosophy from Pl	option module	Option from T				
	redits)	SP3, F (20 Cr				

				_
	om Tables	Option from T		
SOC3, SP3,	•	SP3, POL3**		
	dits)	(20 Credits)		
Dissertation SP SPS Forum (No	S00002H (40 Cre n Credit, Core)	dits, Core)		,
Notes				
*Reason and A	Argument is a p	re-requiste for	some 2nd year	· Philosophy
	this information			dents before
	ir module selec			
	st take two Philos			
	(cannot take all are philosophy			
	ents are not allo			
• •	ge 3) and stude			
	y, Social Policy			
SPS with Philos	sophy students v	who fail the onlin	ne philosophy sk	ills tutorial will
	r to the BA in SF			they will NOT
be allowed to e	nrol in any Stage	e 2/3 Philosophy	/ modules).	
	Stage 1 Option	modules: Philo	sophy Options	5
Com	pulsory Online F	Philosophy skills	tutorial (VLE ba	ased)
		Autumn Term		
Reason & Argui	ment			
		OR		
Early Modern P	hilosophy			
		Spring Term		
Knowledge & P	erception			
		OR		
Introduction to	Ethics			
			I	I

Stage 2

The following tables are a list of the modules available to Second year SPS students during 2017/8. They should therefore be used as a sample of the type of modules likely to be offered.

Option modules: SOC 2

Module title

Gender, Sexuality & Inequalities SOC00001I

Popular Culture, Media & Society

SOC00004I

Contemporary Political Sociology

SOC00005I

Social Interaction & Conversation Analysis

SOC000061

Sociology of Health & Illness

SOC000071

Science in Society

SOC00016I

Option modules: Table POL 2

Module title

Contemporary Political Philosophy

POL00004I

History of Political Thought

POL00005I

State, Economy & Society

POL000061

War & Peace

POL00007I

Politics in the United Kingdom

POL000081

US National Security after the Cold War

POL00035I

Comparative Politics POL00037I
Option modules: Table SP 2
Module title
Debates in Criminal Justice SPY00025I
Understanding Childhood & Youth SPY00023I
Citizenship, Difference and Inequality SPY00018I
The Policy Process SPY00002I
Comparative Social Policy SPY00001I
Victimisation and Social Harm SPY00016I
Option modules: Table PHIL 2
Key Ideas Modules
Hume PHI00081I
Philosophy of Language* PHI00073I
Spinoza & Leibniz PHI00077I
History of Ethics PHI00076I
Ethical Theory PHI00082I
Religious Ethics PHI00072I
Intermediate Logic* PHI00096I

Metaphysics*
PHI00074I
Philosophy of Mind
PHI00078I
Aesthetics
PHI00091I
Aristotle
PHI00066I
Kant
PHI00097I
Nietzsche
PHI00075I
Philosophy of Science*
PHI00080I
Applied Ethics
PHI00079I
Short Modules
Philosophy of Language*
PHI00086I
Ethical Theory
PHI000831
Religious Ethics
PHI00094I
Intermediate Logic*
PHI00095I
Metaphysics
PHI00092I
Philosophy of Mind
PHI00093I
Aesthetics
PHI00067I
Philosophy of Science*
PHI00085I
Applied Ethics
PHI00084I

Option Modules
Effective Altruism
PHI00056I
John McDowell
PHI00049I
Imagination
PHI00042I
Introspection
PHI00068I
Philosophy of Time
PHI00008I
Paradoxes
PHI00021I
Thomas Nagel's The View from Nowhere
PHI000411
Hegel
PHI00071I
William James
PHI00009I
Rousseau
PHI00063I
Social Epistemology
PHI00040I
God & Morality
PHI00007I

^{*}Reason & Argument is a prerequisite for this module

Stage 3

^{**}Students must take two Philosophy modules in Stage 2, which are taught in different terms (cannot take all 30 credits in one term). Some Key Ideas modules have Reason & Argument as a prerequisite (see above for full details of prerequistes)

The following tables are a list of the modules available to third year SPS students during 2017/8. They should therefore be used as a sample of the type of modules likely to be offered.

Option	modules:	Table	SOC	3
--------	----------	--------------	-----	---

Module title				
Paranormal in Society				
SOC00009H (Aut)				
Birth, Marriage & Death				
SOC00023H (Aut)				
Advanced Social Theory				
SOC00032H (Aut)				
Humans & Other Animals				
SOC00007H (Aut)				
Cinema, Cities & Crime				
SOC00035H (Aut)				
Art, Tastes & Stratification				
SOC00013H (Spr)				
Body & Society				
SOC00003H (Spr)				
The Racial State				
SOC00039H (Spr)				
Performance & Society				
SOC00026H (Spr)				
Imagining Sociological Alternatives				
SOC00046H (Spr)				

Option modules: Table SP 3

Module title				
Criminal Justice & Policing				
SPY00011H (Aut)				
Housing Policy				
SPY00001H (Aut)				
Crime & Punishment				
LAW00045H (Aut)				
Poverty & Inequality				
SPY00036H (Aut)				

Welfare State in Crisis SPY00035H (Aut)
Love, Intimacy and Family Life
SPY00019H (Aut)
Death & Policy
SPY00038H (Spr)
Gender, Citizenship & the Welfare State
SPY00032H (Spr)
Illicit Drug Use
SPY00012H (Spr)
Prisons and Penal Policy
SPY00046H (Spr)
Wellbeing of Children & Young People SPY00020H (Spr)
Youth Justice
SPY00021H (Spr)
Option modules: Table POL 3
Module title
Governing the Global Economy
POL00009H (Aut)
British Foreign Policy after the Cold War
POL00011H (Aut)
Territory and Conflict in the former Soviet Union
POL00023H (Aut) Citizens, Parties & Elections in Contemporary Democracies
POL00036H (Aut)
Political Participation and Democracy
POL00041H (Aut)
Global Politics of Nuclear Weapons
POL00043H (Aut)
Green Politics
POL00010H (Spr)
The Labour Party & Socialism
POL00021H (Spr)
Ethnicity & Conflict
POL00024H (Spr)
The Politics and Security in Africa
POL00050H (Spr)

Regionalism in World Politics POL00051H (Spr)
Ethics and International Politics
POL00052H (Spr)
Option modules: Table PHIL 3
Module title
Philosophy of Physics
PHI00013H (Aut)
Personal Identity
PHI00005H (Aut)
Philosophy of Art from Hume to Tolstoy
PHI00091H (Aut)
Philosophy of Christianity
PHI00058H (Aut)
Consciousness
PHI00018H (Aut)
Language & Mind
PHI00046H (Aut)
Suffering and the Good Life
PHI00096H (Aut)
Foundations of Mathematics
PHI00017H (Spr)
Merleau-Ponty
PHI00007H (Spr)
Philosophy of Emotions
PHI00081H (Spr)
German Idealism
PHI00073H (Spr)
Analytic Aesthetics
PHI00100H (Spr)
Wittgenstein and Philosophy
PHI00097H (Spr)
Value and the Meaning of Life
PHI00075H (Spr)
Contemporary Issues in Bioethics
PHI00002H (Spr)
Causation & Laws
PHI00095H (Spr)

Programme Map: Module Contribution to Programme Learning Outcomes

This table maps the contribution to programme learning outcomes made by each module, in terms of the advance in understanding/ expertise acquired or reinforced in the module, the work by which students achieve this advance and the assessments that test it. This enables the programme rationale to be understood:

- · Reading the table vertically illustrates how the programme has been designed to deepen knowledge, concepts and skills progressively. It shows how the progressive achievement of PLOs is supported by formative work and evaluated by summative assessment. In turn this should help students to understand and articulate their development of transferable skills and to relate this to other resources, such as the Employability Tutorial and York Award;
- · Reading the table horizontally explains how the experience of a student at a particular time includes a balance of activities appropriate to that stage, through the design of modules.

Stage	Module		Programme Learning Outcomes							
			PLO1	PLO2	PLO3	PLO4	PLO5	PLO6	PLO7	PLO8
			Make critical and analytical contributions to our collective understanding of emerging global issues and the changing social and political world by continually engaging with social scientific and philosophical theories and research.	theoretical and applied knowledge in the	and debates across a range of areas of philosophy and the social and political sciences (including some at the forefront of contemporary work) and apply this understanding in an open-minded	Lay out what can be said for and against proposed solutions to philosophical problems, and make a measured judgement about what is the best solution in each case, supporting that judgement with a sustained line of argument based on the considerations raised	undertake ethical and responsible research projects which draw upon appropriate research	•	Synthesise and communicate complex, sensitive information and arguments to diverse audiences, in appropriate formats, via a range of media and digital technologies.	Work creatively with teams, multi-agency groups, institutions and the public in ways that are considered, and respectful of the views, values and cultural position of others.
Stage 1	Induction Week	Progress towards PLO						Gaining an understanding of the VLE and library services		

	CORE	By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)				Introductory lectures delivered by the Library and E- Learning Team	
Stage 1	What is Politics?		understanding of the major traditions in studying politics and different approaches to understanding	Applying different approaches to understanding power and the state to issues such as globalisation, the EU and migration	of skills pertaining to literature search and writing; learning foundational	Engaging in group collaboration in seminars, in the development of a group presentation and in the online blog	Collaborating in seminar tasks and outside the classroom

CORE	By working on	Lectures; seminar	Lectures; seminar		Skills-sessions (in	Skills-sessions (in	Skills-sessions (in	Seminar
33.1.2	(and if applicable,	· ·	preparation;			the lecture	the lecture	exercises;
	assessed	seminar	seminar		programme);	programme);	programme);	collaboration in
	through)	exercises;	exercises;		seminar	seminar	Seminar	writing blog.
	",	independent	independent		exercises;	exercises;	exercises; writing	Group
		•	study. Literature		independent	independent	blog. Sessions	presentation
		review	review		study; Sessions	study; Sessions	delivered by the	(formative);
		(formative);	(formative);			delivered by the	careers	Group
		Essay	Essay		library on	library on	department on	presentation
		(summative);	(summative);		searching for	searching for	designing	(summative,
		Group	Group		materials and	materials and	presentations	including peer
		presentation	presentation		researching your	researching your	and delivering	evaluation)
		(formative);	(formative);		first essays,	first essays,	presentations.	
		Group	Group		referencing and	referencing and	These form the	
		presentation	presentation		evaluating	evaluating	basis for seminar	
		(summative,	(summative,		sources (autumn	sources (autumn	activities.Group	
		including peer	including peer		term) and	term) and	presentation	
		evaluation);	evaluation);		designing	designing	(formative);	
		Online blog	Online blog		effective	effective	Group	
					presentations,	presentations,	presentation	
					online	online	(summative,	
					collaboration	collaboration	including peer-	
					tools, and	tools, and	evaluation);	
					identity and	identity and	Online blog	
					wellbeing (spring	wellbeing (spring		
					term). These mini	term). These mini		
					lectures provide	lectures provide		
					the basis for	the basis for		
					seminar activities	seminar activities		
					to apply the	to apply the		
					material the	material the		
					students have	students have		
					explored in	explored in		
					lectures.	lectures. Group		
					Literature review	presentation		
					(formative);	(formative);		
					Essay	Group		
					(summative);	presentation		
					Group	(summative,		
						including peer-		
					(formative);	evaluation);		
					Group	Online blog		
					presentation			
					(summative,			
					including peer			
					evaluation)			

Social Theory CORE	Progress towards PLO							
	By working on	Students are	Students are		In their first	A proportion of	The module is	Seminars are
	(and if applicable,	introduced to the	taught how to		assessment,	the course and	taught through	collaborative
	assessed	theories of core	critically engage		students must	its assessment is	participative	endeavours
	through)	sociological	with the original		critically reflect	dedicated to	learning in	structured
		thinkers from the	writings of		upon an original	researching the	seminars, in	through
		classical and	sociological		piece of writing	continuing	which students	cooperation
		contemporary	theorists.		from classical	relevance, or	are encouraged	around a rang
		intellectual			social theory.	otherwise, of	to present and	of learning ar
		traditions.			This is intended	sociological	articulate their	research task
					to challenge	theories and	ideas.	
					students on the	concepts. This		
					course to read	includes		
					theory through	investigating the		
					original works	relationship		
					rather than	between social		
					textbook	theory,		
					summaries.	contemporary		
						illustrative		
						examples and		
						recent		
						sociological		
						scholarship.		

Stage 1	Introducing	Progress towards	Students are	Students are		Students are	Students are	Key concepts,	Students are
	Social Policy	PLO	introduced to the	introduced to the		introduced to a	introduced to key	ideas and social	introduced to the
	CORE		operation of key	frameworks for		range of	debates in social	aims are	operation of key
			social, political	the organisation		theoretical and	policy and the	considered from	social, political
			and economic	and delivery of		empirical sources	platforms from	a range of	and economic
			actors in social	benefits and		and to key social	which these are	political and	actors in social
			policy	services and the		questions which	undertaken.	ideological	policy
			development and			form the basis of		perspectives.	development and
			the ways in	these resources		social science			the ways in
			which their	are distributed		enquiry. Students			which their
			interests	providing a basic		are also inducted			interests are
			influence the	understanding of		into the ethics of			privileged in
			development of	the extent of		academic activity			particular
			policy in key	social need in		including			contexts leading
			social domains of	societies and the		learning,			to inequalities.
			provision.	ways in which		research and			Students are also
				this is met. In		writing.			introduced to the
				parallel with					dimensions of
				these					inequality within
				frameworks of					and across social
				knowledge,					groups in relation
				students are also					to their
				introduced to a					experience of
				range of					social policy.
				frameworks of					
				analysis drawn					
				from social					
				science which					
				can be applied to					
				the evaluation of					
				distribution and					
				the identification					
				of gaps and					
				problems that					
				require further					
				solutions.					

By working on	Seminar tasks	Seminar tasks		Seminar tasks	Seminar tasks	Seminar tasks	Seminar tasks
	-	including debates			including debates	including debates	-
	and role-play	and role-play			and role-play	and role-play	and role-play
through)	exercises are	exercises are		sources of data	exercises are	exercises include	exercises are
	designed to	designed to		and policy-	designed to	collaborative	designed to
	introduce	introduce		related materials	explore social	work both in	explore social
	students to the	students to the		which they are		preparation	policy issues
	operation of key	range of		- P	all levels of	activities and	from the
		• •		explore and		during in-class	perspective of a
	the formation of	taken in policy		evaluate in their	to global	activites where	range actors and
	policy and to	development			requiring	content is	subjects
	recognise the	linking these to			students to	focused on	including policy-
	constraints in	the ideas and		enable	familiarise	problem-solving	makers, service
	which policy is	social theories by		immediate	themselves with	and developing	users, activists
	developed.	which they are		formative	positions in	solutions.	and stake-
	Seminars enable	underpinned.		feedback	preparation and	Seminars enable	holders.
	immediate	Seminars enable		delivered by	to communicate	immediate	Seminars enable
	formative	immediate		seminar leaders.	these positions	formative	immediate
	feedback	formative		In their Essay and	in-class. Seminars	feedback	formative
	delivered by	feedback		Exam assessment	enable	delivered by	feedback
		delivered by		students are	immediate	seminar leaders	delivered by
	In their essay and	seminar leaders.		expected to refer	formative	and peers.	seminar leaders
	exam assessment	In their essay and		back to these	feedback		and peers.
	students are	exam assessment		data sources in	delivered by		
	expected to	students are		order to produce			
	demonstrate	expected to be		reasoned written	and peers. In		
	their Knowledge	able to draw on		answers.	their essay and		
	and	key ideas and		Students are also	exam assessment		
	understanding of	theories to frame		expected to	students are able		
	economic and	a written		demonstrate that	to demonstrate		
	political interests	argument.		they are	their written		
	and their			equipped with	communication		
	influence on			skills in academic	skills.		
	policy			integrity and			
	development.			understand the			
				ethical values			
				appropriate to			
				social scientific			
				enquiry and			
				writing in the			
				social sciences.			

Early Modern	Progress towards		Students will (i)	Students will	Students will	Students will	(i) Students will
Philosophy B	PLO		develop their	develop their	develop their	develop their	develop their
PHI00002C			ability to	abilities to	ability to identify	ability to express	ability to engage
10 Credits			understand and	identify,	some key	themselves (i)	in fruitful
(Either/or with			explain some key	describe, and	strengths and	orally and (ii) in	philosophical
Reason and			debates about	evaluate key	weaknesses in	writing	discussion—
Argument B)			the nature of the	arguments in the	their capacities		making clear,
			world and our	work of others	and seek help for		concise, and
Summative			experience and		improvement on		relevant
Assessment:			knowledge of it		that basis		contributions,
Exam in Spring			and (ii) develop				and listening
Common			their ability to				carefully and
Assessment			identify and				respectfully to
Period			characterize				others
			solutions to				
			philosophical				(ii) Students will
			problems and				develop their
			puzzles				sensitivity to
							cultural and
							historical context
							in understanding
							the work and
							ideas of others

Dy working on	By (i) engaging in	Dy producing	By reflecting on	Du (i) procepting	(i) By engaging in
By working on		By producing		By (i) presenting	extended
(and if applicable,	close reading of	responses to	seminar	their responses	
assessed	some carefully	seminar guidance	discussions and	to the texts in	seminar
through)	selected texts	in relation to set	other feedback	extended	discussions
	from the Early	readings and	on their work	seminar	
	Modern period	receiving		discussions, and	(ii) By engaging
	(including pieces	feedback on		(ii) by preparing	with the work of
	by figures like	these in seminar		for seminars and	philosophers
	Descartes and	discussions with		producing	from a different
	Malebranche)	fellow students		written	period with
	which debate key	and the seminar		assessments	different
	questions, and	tutor			scientific and
	participating in				religious views
	extended				(making
	seminar				appropriate use
	discussions of				of secondary and
	these texts, and				contextualizing
	(ii) examining the				material)
	different				
	responses to				
	philosophical				
	questions				
	presented by				
	opposed seminar				
	readings and				
	responding to				
	seminar				
	preparation				
	guidance				

			ı	1	T	1	1		T	1
	Reason and	Progress towards	Students will		Students will	Students will		Students will	(i) Students will	Students will
	Argument B	PLO	acquire the basic		develop a critical	develop their		develop their	develop their	develop their
	PHI00006C		logical literacy		awareness of the	abilities to		ability to correct	understanding of	abilities to
	10 Credits		(abilities with		workings of	produce and		their	how	collaborate in
	(Either/or with		concepts,		language—e.g. in	evaluate		understandings	interpretation of	seeking solutions
	Early Modern		terminology, and		relation to (a)	candidate		and modify their	utterances and	to problems
	Philosophy		notation of logic)		forms of	solutions to		practice in	texts depends on	
	PHI00002C)		required for		ambiguity and (b)	problems		response to	context and on	
			understanding		the distinction			constructive	differences	
	Summative		philosophical		between what a			criticism	between authors	
	Assessment:		texts and		speaker succeeds				and speakers	
	'Workbook' Exam		discussions in the		in conveying by					
	in Spring		remainder of the		using words on a				(ii) Students will	
	Common		programme		particular				develop their	
	Assessment				occasion, and				ability to express	
	Period				what those				themselves orally	
					words mean				,	
		By working on	By working to		By tackling	By tackling		By noting the	By (i) providing,	By discussing and
		1 '			puzzles and	puzzles		responses of	considering, and	evaluating
		assessed	and arguments		problems	concerning the		their tutors and	evaluating	answers to set
		through)	expressed in		concerning the	meaning of and		colleagues in		questions in
		,	natural language		meaning of and	logical relations		seminar work	the meanings of	seminars
			in logical terms		logical relations	between claims,			sentences and (ii)	
					between claims	,			by contributing	
									to seminar	
									discussions	
Stage 1	Knowledge and	Progress towards			Students will	Students will		Students will be	Students will	Students will
0.000	Perception	PLO			come to	develop their		able to identify	develop their	develop the
	PHI00008C 20				understand and	ability to weigh		strengths and	skills in writing	ability to
	Credits (Either/or				be able to explain	, ,		weaknesses and	for philosophy	collaborate in
	with Ethics)				some key	lay out a case for		seek help with	'from word to	seeking solutions
	With Ethics)				,	a particular		improving	paragraph',	to problems
	Summative				and debates in	verdict		performance on	expressing ideas	to problems
	Assessment:				Epistemology and			that basis	clearly and	
	Exam in Summer				Philosophy of			that basis	accurately in	
	Common				Perception				simple and	
	Assessment				reiception				accessible terms,	
	Period								and producing	
	renou								well-structured	
									paragraphs	

		By working on		By answering	By working to	By noting (a) the	By preparing	By discussing and
		(and if applicable,		study questions	produce an essay	responses of	By preparing paragraph-length	evaluating
		assessed			on a fundamental	their tutors and		1 - 1
		through)		on set readings (with support	issue in	colleagues in	written answers	answers to study questions in
		tillougil)				_	to questions on	1 '
				from lectures),	Epistemology/Phi	activities in	module materials	seminars
				engaging in	losophy of	seminars and	on a weekly basis	
				seminar	Perception	lectures, and (b)	and discussing	
				discussions, and			these in pairs in	
				writing formative		on formative	seminars,	
				and summative		work	applying key	
				essays			points of	
							guidance on	
							excellent writing	
							for philosophy	
Stage 1		1 0	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will be	Students will	Students will be
	20 Credits	PLO	develop their	come to be able	develop and	able to identify	develop their	able to
	(Either/or with		ability to ask and	0 0	refine their	strengths and	skills in writing	understand the
	Knowledge and		·	-	understanding of	weaknesses and	for philosophy	ways in which
	Perception)		for themselves		the possible	seek help with	'from word to	certain moral
			about what is	at least some of	views and of the	improving	paragraph',	issues are treated
	Summative		valuable and	the most	arguments which	performance on	expressing ideas	in different
	Assessment:		what ought to be	important ideas	might be used to	that basis	clearly and	cultural contexts,
	Essay (2,000		,	in a range of	support those		accurately in	and they will
	words) due		how we ought to		views, and they		simple and	develop their
	Summer Week 1		think about those	debates in Ethics	will develop their		accessible terms,	ability to explain
			questions and		ability to		and producing	this and respond
			how progress	and content of	determine which		well-structured	appropriately in
			might be made in	some key	view – if any –		paragraphs	discussion and
			answering them	arguments	has the most to			writing
				employed to	recommend it,			
				defend various	taking into			
				views in those	account not only			
				debates; and	the cogency of			
					the particular			
				develop and	arguments in			
				demonstrate	question but also			
				their ability to	any other factors			
				explain these	which might			
				things to others	recommend			
				in discussion	adoption of one			
					conclusion over			
					another			

		By working on	By studying and		By thinking about	By noting (a) the	By preparing	By understanding
		(and if applicable,	forming ideas	and discussing	(in individual	responses of	paragraph-length	the particular
		assessed	about a range of		study) and	their tutors and	written answers	moral and
		through)	moral issues,	and 'applied'	discussing (in	colleagues in	to questions on	theological
			paying particular	issues in moral	seminars) various	activities in	module materials	presuppositions
			attention to the	philosophy,	views in each	seminars and	on a weekly basis	behind some of
			arguments and	stimulated by	debate studied,	lectures, and (b)	and discussing	the views
			considerations	ideas from	and by planning	written feedback	these in pairs in	discussed (e.g. in
			which count in	carefully selected	and writing an	on formative	seminars,	the discussion of
			favour of and	historical texts	essay on selected	work	applying key	divine command
			against particular	and recent work	issues which		points of	theory, and the
			views	in the field	reaches its		guidance on	discussion of
					conclusion on the		excellent writing	abortion), and
					basis of		for philosophy	manifesting this
					argument and			understanding in
					the presentation			their discussions
					of reasons			and writing
Stage 1	Beginning	Progress towards			Students will	Students will	Students will	
, and the second	Philosophy	PLO			develop their		develop their	
	PHI00001C				ability to argue	ability to	knowledge and	
	0 Credits				and assess		understanding of	
					arguments	motivate, and	how to prepare	
	Note: Not for					manage their	and present	
	credit, but working					working time	written material	
	through the online						for assessment	
	material gives						and what makes	
	important support						for good writing	
	in the						in philosophy	
	development of						' ' '	
	academic skills, including essay							
	writing at							
	university level.							
	Students must							
	complete quiz							
	sections in the							
	online module in							
	order to progress							
	through the							
	sections.							

	By working on	By completing		By completing
	(and if applicable,	the Critical	independently on	the Writing Skills
	assessed	Thinking element	the online	element of the
	through)	of the online	elements of the	online materials
		module material	module, fitting in	
			their work	
			around other	
			commitments to	
			complete it not	
			only by the	
			deadline but also	
			to ensure that	
			relevant	
			elements are	
			completed at	
			appropriate	
			times (e.g.	
			completing	
			Writing Skills	
			before	
			submitting their	
			first essays)	
Stage 2	Note that other than SRM, Stage 2		, ,	
	modules offered by each			
	department are options. 30 credits			
	must be taken in Philosophy in			
	Stage 2 but students may opt not to			
	take a module from one of the			
	other contributing departments if			
	they intend to take at least one 20			
	credit module from that			
	department in Stage 3.			

Stage 2	Social Research	Progress towards	Students are	Students employ		Seminars require
	Methods (CORE)	PLO	taught how to	quantitative and		teamwork and
		1	evaluate and	qualitative		collaborative
			critically assess	research		work
			the methodology	methods, and		
			employed in	learn how		
			existing empirical	different		
			research	methodologies		
				are appropriate		
				for addressing		
				different types of		
				research		
				questions. They		
				are taught how		
				to design whole		
				research		
				projects,		
				considering data		
				collection, data		
				analyis, and		
				ethics. This		
				serves to develop		
				skills introduced		
				in Year 1 (e.g.		
				What is Politics?)		
				and builds a		
				strong research		
				skills base for the		
				dissertation		
				module		
				undertaken in		
				Stage 3.		

CORE	By working on	Summative		Practical skills are	Students worl
	(and if applicable,			developed	together to
	assessed	the form of a		through the	design the
	through)	methodological		student survey (a	student surve
		critical review		sample survey	and present tl
		requires students		designed in	results of arch
		to evaluate and		seminars and	research they
		critically analyse		analysed in	have conducte
		evidence-based		computer lab	This is not
		claims in social		sessions by	assessed.
		research		students) and	assessed.
		lesearch			
				assessed through	
				summative	
				assessment in	
				the form of a	
				written research	
				report. Practical	
				qualitative skills	
				in data collection	
				and analysis are	
				learnt in seminar	
				settings.	
				Students develop	
				interview	
				schedules, run	
				practice focus	
				groups, conduct	
				their own	
				observation	
				studies, conduct	
				thematic analysis	
				of interview data,	
				and complete	
				other practical	
				tasks. Summative	
				assessment	
				comes in the	
				form of an essay	
				discussing the	
				application of	
				qualitative	
				methods, and a	
				research report	
				where they write	
				up the results of	
				a piece of	
				qualitative	
				research they	
				have conducted.	
				Students	
		1	1	1	1

consider how to

Stage 2	Human Rights &	Progress towards	Identifying and	Applying		Conducting	Engaging in	Learning	Collaborating in
Stage 2	Human Wrongs	PLO PLO	understanding	theoretical		_	group	communication	seminar tasks
	(POL)	PLO	the main legal	understandings		theory (political	collaboration in	skills and	and outside the
	(POL)		and political	of human		and legal) and	seminars via		classroom
			frameworks			case studies from		presenting	Classiooiii
				rights to case				arguments in a	
				studies,		both the	presentations,	clear and	
				interrogating the		secondary	group exercises	structured way	
			, ,	contested		literature and	and small group	via both written	
			in a global,	notions of		case study	discussion.	and spoken	
				various rights in		material to		materials	
			context.	different political		explore the			
				contexts,		seminar			
				developing a		questions and			
				critical		essay questions.			
				understanding of					
				why certain					
				rights are more					
				contested, and					
				being able to					
				analyse rights					
				from a					
				normative,					
				analytical and					
				empirical					
				perspective.					
		By working on	Lectures; seminar		Lectures; seminar	Seminar	Seminar	Seminar	Seminar
		(and if applicable,	preparation;		exercises;	exercises;	exercises	exercises	exercises
		assessed	seminar		independent	independent			
		through)	exercises;		study	study			
			independent						
			study						
Stage 2		Essay	Essay		Essay				
		(formative);	(formative);		(formative);				
		Essay	Essay		Essay				
		(summative);	(summative);		(summative);				
			Mock		Mock				
		examination;	examination;		examination;				
		Examination (2	Examination (2		Examination (2				
			hour)		hour)				

Policy Process	Progress towards	Students will	Students will		Students will gain	Students will	Students will use	Students will
(SPSW)	PLO	develop	apply policy		an understanding		role play to	explore the
(. = 0	knowledge of the			of the role of	understanding of	, <i>,</i>	distribution of
			and theories to		evidence and	the policy making		
		practice of policy	'real world'		evaluation in the		policy process	policy process
			scenarios.		policy making	three distinct	and the role of	and how social
		broad range of			process. The	tiers of	government	problems
		social policy			potential for	knowledge that	departments in	become issues on
		areas. They will			systematic	explore the	decision making.	the political
		explore the			reviews,	macro, meso and	_	agenda.
		institutional and			quantitative and	micro		
		other interests			qualitative data	theorisations of		
		that operate			to inform an	policy formation		
		within the policy			'evidence based'	and		
		process.			approach to	implementation.		
					policy making will	They will		
					be explored.	appreciate the		
						differences in		
						levels of debate		
						depending on		
						theoretical		
						context.		

Stage 2	By working on	Seminars are	Prior to the		Students a	re -	The Government	The Government	Throughout th
	(and if applicable,		workshop,		expected t		Workshop	Workshop	module the
	assessed	explore policy	seminars are		document		provides		differentiated
	through)	challenges from	based on		refer to the		•	to work in teams	access to and
		macro, meso and	presentations,		evidence s		students to work		impact of the
		micro	wherein students		and their		in teams to	government	policy process
		perspectives,	are required to		robustness	I	replicate	departments. A	are explored.
		which is central	use theoretical		verbal and	I .	government	take-home	Seminars ask
		to the	literature to		written	Ι,	•	message is that	questions su
		understanding of	explore a				to present their	this is not always	'how have yo
		the policy	contemporary		The semina	I .	policy plans in a	easy and in fact	people been
		process. Policy	question		require stu		formal setting to	there are many	impacted by
		report and	pertaining to the		to present	I .	the staff and	barriers to	changes in th
		government	policy process.		findings in	1	colleagues using	working	world of wo
		workshop reports	The Government		and		appropriate	collaboratively,	and students
		are based on in	Workshop		understan	I	presentation	but that succesful	engage with
			provides		way, makir	- 1	techniques.	teamwork is a	academic so
		of theory to	opportunities for		of software				as well as
		i i	students to work		as PowerP	I		workshop. The	material fro
		specific policy			Formative	1	on the audience,		think-tanks
		and to relevant	in teams to		feedback is	1	•	workshop	
		government	replicate		provided o	1	with students	encourages	advocacy gr
		departments.	government		the conten	1	required to	students to	in seeking to
		The wider	departments.				present the same		answer this.
		context of policy	Assessment tasks		presentation		ideas to	models of	Throughout
		is recreated with	require students		and the		representatives	teamwork that	module we
		key actors from	to engage with		presentation	1	of governments,	reflect the power	explore the
		beyond	policy solutions		students h	I .	the academy,	relations that	various
		Westminster	and decision		opportunit	I	business, and	have been	stakeholder
		represented in	making.		present lat		wider civil	explored	involved in
		the workshop.			the term h	-		throughout the	policy maki
					reflected o		are required to	module. The	process, fro
					The modul	I			'service use
					whole mak	I .	theoretical		through fro
					of the VLE,		•	a critical	line service
					during the		earlier in the	appraisal of how	deliverers, i
					governmei	I .		succesful that	up to politio
					workshop	1	workshop report	teamwork	To sucessfu
					much more	I .	directly asks how	proved to be,	gain a 'com
					dynamic. T		this theoretical	how it could have	appreciatio
					workshop	I	literature was	been advanced	the policy
						I		and how these	proccess
					to access,			issues might	students mu
					and then p		otherwise	affect 'real-world'	appreciate h
					data, both		through the	policy making.	these variou
					qualitative	and	workshop.		stakeholder
					quantitativ	re,			have differe
					from a ran	ge of			roles in the
					sources su	ch as			process and
					governme	nt			that then te
			Ĩ		roports			l	ahout nowo

reports,

academic sources

about power

more generally.

			I	ı			l ₋ .	1 1
	Progress towards					Students are	Students are	Students are
Inequalities (SOC)	PLO	examine core	taught to be able		research project	taught to	expected to	given questions
		sociological	to identify and			evaluate	critically	to prepare
		issues of class,	analyse latent			evidence-based	synthesise and	material to
		religion and	forms of		•	claims, when we	communicate	discuss in
		race/ethnicities.	inequalities. They			examine	complex	seminar groups
		They are	are taught to			different	information and	throughout the
		introduced to a	challenge		·	academic and	arguments about	module. These
		variety of	common		•	non-academic	emerging social	questions tend to
		empirical and	assumptions that			material. They	issues	relate to
		theoretical work	we are living in a		0 0		throughout the	sensitive topics
		and develop an	meritocratic		with a wide	encouraged to	module.	about how we
		understanding of	society and that			critically reflect		should live
		the manifold	key institutions,			upon news items		together. Issues
		forms	such as the NHS,		, 0	or extracts from		of blasphemy,
		inequalities can	are not subject to		the lecture on	films.		tolerance,
		take. Key authors	forms of latent		social mobility,			discrimination,
		in social theory	racism or		they are taught			poverty,
		are also covered.	discrimination,		how to read			inequality are key
			that		cross-tabs.			for this module
			multiculturalism					and are discussed
			is dead, etc.					in a way that
			General issues of					encourages
			whiteness,					students to be
			secularism,					open and
			religion-based					respectful.
			prejudices,					
			hidden forms of					
			inequalities					
			(through people's					
			lifestyles) are					
			thoroughly					
			discussed, which					
			gives the					
			students a					
			unique expertise					
			to challenge					
			common					
			assumptions.					

OPTION	By working on	Formative: Each	Formative:		Formative:	Formative:	Formative: Group
	(and if applicable,	week, students	Discussions		Discussions	Examples of	discussions and
	assessed	are given	during seminars		during seminars	exercises during	presentations.
	through)	readings and	and (summative)		and (summative)	seminars that	Plus the student
		engage with	portfolios in		portfolios in	enable them to	are encouraged
		them during the	which they		which they have	this include;	to work togethe
		seminars.	identify social		to actively	group	during the
		Different types of	problems and		analyse	presentations or	revisions for the
		exercises are	select		evidence-based	debate about the	exam.
		used	appropriate		claims.	publication of	
		(presentations,	scientific			cartoons such as	
		debates, etc.).	readings and			Charlie Hebdo	
		Summative:	approach to				
		Students are	evaluate them.				
		assessed in the					
		Autumn and in					
		the Spring term					
		during which					
		they have to					
		prepare a					
		portfolio on key					
		issues related to					
		the main themes					
		of this module.					
		An exam in the					
		Summer term is					
		also organised.					
	By working on						
	(and if applicable,						
	assessed						
	through)						

Stage 2	Key Ideas	Progress towards	Students will		Students will	Students will	Students will (i)	Students will (i)	Students will
	Modules,	PLO	develop their		develop their	develop their	develop their	develop their	develop their
	Theoretical		abilities to		ability to form	ability to make	ability to amend	ability to identify	ability to
	Philosophy –		understand and		and deploy a	judgements on	their working	and express key	collaborate with
	Various		be able to explain		broad and	what to believe	methods and	puzzles, views,	others
	20 Credits (PHIL)		a range of		integrated view	with regard to a	thinking in the	and arguments in	
			connected		of a subject area	difficult problem	light of	a debate in clear	
	Summative		debates, and			based on careful	constructive	and concise	
	Assessment: (i)		apply their			weighing of	criticism, and (ii)	terms, and (ii)	
	essay (70%		understanding in			arguments,	develop their	improve their	
	weighting); (ii)		addressing novel			objections, and		ability to express	
	Short-answer		problems			responses	their written	complex ideas	
	exam in the						work in the light	clearly and	
	Common						of constructive	precisely orally	
	Assessment						criticism	and in writing	
	Period in the	By working on	By studying a		By engaging with	By preparing an	By (i) reflecting	By (i) preparing	By engaging in
	term following	(and if applicable,	representative		teaching across	essay addressing	on comments on	for and taking	seminar
	the term in which	assessed	range of debates		the module in	a specific	their	the short-form	discussions and a
	teaching starts	through)	in the area		preparation for	question in the	contributions to	exam, and (ii)	variety of
	(30% weighting).		addressed by the		the short-answer	area addressed	seminar	engaging in	seminar activities
			module, reading,		exam element of	by the module	discussions made	seminar	
	NOTE: These		dissecting, and		assessment		by colleagues and	discussions and	
	modules fall into		discussing key				tutors, and (ii)	preparing written	
	three 'bands':		texts				reflecting on	assignments	
	Value (Ethics,						feedback on their		
	Aesthetics, etc.);						formative work		
	Key Ideas	Progress towards	Students will	[VALUE BAND	Students will	Students will	Students will (i)	Students will (i)	Students will
	Modules, Value –	PLO	develop their	ONLY] Students	develop their	develop their	develop their	develop their	develop their
	Various		abilities to	will come to be	ability to form	ability to make	ability to amend	ability to identify	ability to
	20 Credits (PHIL)		understand and	able to apply	and deploy a	judgements on	their working	and express key	collaborate with
			be able to explain	philosophical	broad and	what to believe	methods and	puzzles, views,	others
	Summative		a range of	methods and	integrated view	with regard to a	thinking in the	and arguments in	
	Assessment: (i)		connected	insights to	of a subject area	difficult problem	light of	a debate in clear	
	essay (70%		debates, and	contemporary		based on careful	constructive	and concise	
	weighting); (ii)		apply their	problems		weighing of	criticism, and (ii)	terms, and (ii)	
	Short-answer		understanding in			arguments,	develop their	improve their	
	exam in the		addressing novel			objections, and	ability to amend	ability to express	
	Common		problems			responses	their written	complex ideas	
	Assessment						work in the light	clearly and	
	Period in the						of constructive	precisely orally	
	term following						criticism	and in writing	

the term in which teaching starts (30% weighting).	By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)	By studying a representative range of debates in the area addressed by the module, reading, dissecting, and discussing key texts	[VALUE BAND ONLY] By engaging in an extended consideration of questions of value	By engaging with teaching across the module in preparation for the short-answer exam element of assessment	By preparing an essay addressing a specific question in the area addressed by the module	their contributions to seminar discussions made	By (i) preparing for and taking the short-form exam, and (ii) engaging in seminar discussions and preparing written assignments	By engaging in seminar discussions and a variety of seminar activities
Key Ideas Modules, History of Philosophy – Various 20 Credits (PHIL) Summative Assessment: (i) essay (70% weighting); (ii) Short-answer exam in the Common Assessment Period in the	"	Students will develop their abilities to understand and be able to explain a range of connected debates, and apply their understanding in addressing novel problems		Students will develop their ability to form and deploy a broad and integrated view of a subject area	Students will develop their ability to make judgements on what to believe with regard to a difficult problem based on careful weighing of arguments, objections, and responses	Students will (i) develop their ability to amend their working methods and thinking in the light of constructive criticism, and (ii) develop their ability to amend their written work in the light of constructive criticism	Students will (i) develop their ability to identify and express key puzzles, views, and arguments in a debate in clear and concise terms, and (ii) improve their ability to express complex ideas clearly and precisely orally and in writing	Students will (i) develop their ability to collaborate with others, and [HISTORY BAND ONLY] (ii) develop informed sensitivity to historical and/or cultural context in interpreting the work and ideas of others
term following the term in which teaching starts (30% weighting).	By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)	By studying a representative range of debates in the area addressed by the module, reading, dissecting, and discussing key texts		By engaging with teaching across the module in preparation for the short-answer exam element of assessment	By preparing an essay addressing a specific question in the area addressed by the module	their contributions to seminar discussions made	By (i) preparing for and taking the short-form exam, and (ii) engaging in seminar discussions and preparing written assignments	By (i) engaging in seminar discussions and a variety of seminar activities, and [HISTORY BAND ONLY] (ii) engaging in an extended investigation into the work of a philosopher or philosophers from a different historical period and/or cultural background

				1	1				
	Key Ideas	Progress towards	Students will		Students will	Students will	` '	Students will	Students will
	Modules, Short	PLO	develop their		develop their	develop their	•	improve their	develop their
	Versions –		abilities to		ability to identify	ability to make	•	ability to express	ability to
	Various		understand and		and articulate	judgements on	their working	complex ideas	collaborate with
	10 Credits (PHIL)		be able to explain		solutions to	what to believe	methods and	clearly and	others to develop
			some issues in a		problems	with regard to a	thinking in the	precisely orally	knowledge and
	Summative		novel area of			difficult problem	light of	and in writing	understanding
	Assessment:		philosophy, and			based on careful	constructive		
	essay		apply their			weighing of	criticism, and (ii)		
			understanding in			arguments,	develop their		
			addressing novel			objections, and	ability to amend		
			problems			responses	their written		
							work in the light		
							of constructive		
							criticism		
		By working on	By studying some		By investigating	By preparing an	By (i) reflecting	By engaging in	By engaging in
		(and if applicable,	of the debates in		and discussing	essay addressing	on comments on	seminar	seminar
		assessed	the area		proposals	a specific	their	discussions and	discussions and a
		through)	addressed by the		presented in	question in the	contributions to	preparing written	variety of
			module, reading,		lectures and	area addressed	seminar	assignments	seminar activities
			dissecting, and		seminar readings	by the module	discussions made		
			discussing key		_	•	by colleagues and		
			texts				tutors, and (ii)		
							reflecting on		
							feedback on their		
							formative work		
Stage 2	Second Year	Progress towards	Students will		Students will (i)	Students will	Students will	Students will	
	Option Module	PLO	develop their		develop their	develop their	develop their	develop their	
	(Lecture and		ability to form a		ability to identify	ability to make		ability to express	
	Seminar) –		clear view of the		and articulate	judgements on	their work in the	themselves	
	(Optional)		problems,		solutions, and (ii)	what to believe	light of	clearly and	
	Various 10		puzzles, views,		develop their	with regard to a	constructive	concisely orally	
	Credits		and arguments in		ability to	difficult problem	criticism	and in writing	
			a specialist topic		construct and	based on careful			
	Summative		area		critically evaluate	weighing of			
	Assessment:				arguments	arguments,			
	Exam					objections, and			
						responses			

By working on	By studying some	By (i)	By preparing for	By reflecting on	By making notes
(and if applicable,	' ' -		and writing an	feedback on their	·
	interrelated	discussing	essay-style exam	formative work	seminars,
through)	problems in a	proposals	answer on a	(including	l ' l
uirougii)	'	l · · ·		1,	engaging in
	specialist area of	presented in	specific question	contributions to	seminar
	philosophy	lectures and	in the area	seminar	discussions, and
		seminar readings,	addressed by the	discussions)	producing
		(ii) discussing	module		written
		arguments for			assignments
		and against			
		solutions,			
		identifying			
		potential			
		objections to			
		those solutions,			
		and investigating			
		how those			
		objections might			
		be responded to			
Second Year Progress towards	Students will	Students will (i)	Students will	Students will	Students will
Option Module PLO	develop their	develop their	develop their	develop their	develop their
(Reading Group)	ability to form a	ability to identify	ability to make	abilities (i) to	ability to express
– (Optional)	clear view of the	and articulate	judgements on	investigate an	themselves
Various 10	problems,	solutions, and (ii)	what to believe	area of	clearly and
Credits	puzzles, views,	develop their	with regard to a	philosophy	concisely orally
	and arguments in	ability to	difficult problem	through	and in writing
Summative	a specialist topic	construct and	based on careful	individual	·
Assessment:	area without the	critically evaluate	weighing of	reading and	
essay	support of	arguments	arguments,	discussion with	
	lectures	-	objections, and	peers and (ii) to	
			responses	amend their	
				work in the light	
				of constructive	
				criticism	

	(and if applicable, assessed through)	By studying some specific and interrelated problems in a specialist area of philosophy in reading-groups/seminars		discussing proposals presented in reading- group/seminar readings, (ii)	By preparing for and writing an essay answer on a specific question in the area addressed by the module	an understanding of philosophical works through individual reading and reading-group/seminar	seminars, engaging in extended seminar discussions, and producing	
				discussing arguments for and against solutions, identifying potential objections to those solutions, and investigating how those objections might be responded to		discussions and (ii) reflecting on feedback on their formative work (including contributions to seminar discussions)	written assignments	
or two departmen	ts if they have com	pleted a Stage 2 m	of modules chosen odule (excluding SI ion module in Stage	RM) in a departme				
	2 22 22 22 22 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24							

Stage 3	Dissertation (SPS)	Progress towards	Students are	The dissertation-	Students are	Candidates are	All dissertation	The SPS public
		PLO	expected to use	report should	required to	expected to	students are	lectures are
			the knowledge	demonstrate a	develop and	reflect on the	expected to	partly arranged
			they have gained	relative	produce an	ethical	make periodic	and organsised
			from studying	awareness of key	independent	implications of	presentations	by final year
			previous or	social science	research project	their research	using appropriate	dissertation
			current modules	literature and	that	project and	presentation	students and
			to identify a	where relevant	demonstrates	provide a formal	tools and to	every student is
			relevant area of	how previous	their specialist	justfication which	produce a	expected to chair
			interest for the	studies, concepts	knowledge of a	1	conference	a public Q&A
			dissertation and	and theories	relevant topic in	an ethics	poster for an	session at some
			to consider how	drawn from the	the social and	committee and	exhibition to	point or assist
			they might offer	social sciences	political sciences	their dissertation		with the blog or
			a new insight or	relate to the	and appropriate	advisor. Students		provide video
			critique or	theme of their	awareness and	are also	academic staff	content and
			potentially	dissertation.	deployment of	encouraged to	are invited at the	social media for
			develop new		relevant research		end of Term 8.	the SPS website.
			research data or		methods. Subject	1.		
			provide a new		to BoS approval	research practice		
			interpretation of		the project may	and how it may		
			exisiting data.		also take the	affect their		
					form of a short	choice of subject,		
					annotated	methodology and		
					research	analysis through		
					documentary film	"		
					or a work	dissertation		
					placement	workshops where		
					research report.	they will receice		
						peer and		
						academic		
			1			feedback.		1

D	Carrala mas contil	Charle and a still lea		Ct d a mta ill	Chirala mana a mana a li in	A	Dunnantation of
By working on	Students will	Students will be		Students will		As part of the SPS	
(and if applicable,	l' '	required to		have received	encouraged to		communication
assessed		provide a		research training		1-7 - 0	skills are
through)	submissions to	literature review		in the Social	personal	0 1 /	developed
	their dissertaion	by the beginning		Research	research practice		through group
	advisors. They	of Term 8 to			and how it may		work and will be
	will also be	discuss with their		and this will be	affect their		summatively
	expected to give	advisor.		enhanced with	choice of subject,	film interviews	assessed (up to
	short			specific skills	methodology and	with SPS seminar	15% of the value
	presentations on			training (e.g.	analysis through	speakers.	of the overall
	their dissertation			around visual	regular	Students are also	dissertation
	during the Term			methods for film	dissertation	expected to	mark).
	8 dissertation			making) in Term	workshops where	maintain a blog	
	workshops and			6 and during the	they will receive	with	
	to produce a			Dissertation	peer and	commentaries on	
	poster			Workshop	academic	each talk and to	
	summarising the			sessions in Terms	formative	disseminate	
	key scope and			7 and 8.	feedback.	highlights	
	findings of their					through social	
	research at the					media.	
	end of Term 8. A						
	small proportion						
	of the final mark						
	will be allocated						
	to performance						
	in workshop						
	tasks and						
	presentations.						

St 2	TTI - D. MALGUM	In	6. 4 4. 6	G. J. J.	1		All III .	ler de de	le
Stage 3	The Racial State		Students define,	Students are			All the key	Students	Students work in
	(SOC) OPTION	PLO	interpret and	taught to			readings in this	synthesise and	seminar groups
			explain a range of	evaluate and			course and the	present complex	each week to
			core sociological	critically analyse			course content		consider
			issues relating to	a range of			are designed to	across the course	l '
			race, racism, the	discourses on			challenge	using	often produce
			state and society	race covering			commonly held	technologies	diverse views and
			and apply race	topics such as			assumptions on	such as	are required to
			critical theory to	immigration,			topics relating to	powerpoint	discuss these
			a range of social	multiculturalism,			race and the	through	views in a
			concerns and	integration and			nation-state. As	presenting their	manner that is
			events in order	citizenship,			such students	work at the	both critical and
			to enhance their	policing and			will learn how to	course	respectful.
			knowledge and	engage with a			evaluate and	conference held	
			develop their	range of data			critically question		
			understanding of	including media			populist	of the course.	
			them.	articles, social			discourses	They are also	
				representations,			relating to race	required to	
				government			and the state.	synthesise	
				statistics in order				complex	
				to do so.				information in	
								order to	
								construct	
								responses for	
								their essay.	
		By working on	Their knowledge	This is developed			Formatively,	Summatively	Formative group
		(and if applicable,		formatively			through	assessed	seminar
		assessed	understanding is	though group			undertaking	presentation and	discussions
		through)	developed	seminar			course readings	essay	
			formatively	discussions each			and participating		
			though group	week and is			in group		
			seminar	summatively			discussions		
			discussions each	assessed through			seminars, as well		
			week and is	an oral			as through the		
			summatively	presentation and			summative		
			assessed through	essay.			assessments –		
			an oral				essay and		
			presentation and				presentation.		
			essay.						

Stage 3	Prisons and Penal	Progress towards	The module	The theme of the	The module	The module	The module	Students will
	Policy (SPSW)	PLO	provides a deep	module is to	draws upon a	explores both the	introduces multi-	explore the
	(option)		learning	ascertain	range of data,	practice of	disciplinary	significance of
			opportunity for	whether or not	both quantitative	imprisonment	approaches to	'difference'
			students to	prison works as a	in terms of	and penal policy,	understanding	amongst prison
			explore prisons	solution to	official statistics	looking from the	prisons and penal	populations (e.g.
			and penal policy	criminal	and qualitative	micro of prisoner	policy including	gender, social
			making. It	behaviour.	(using data sets	and staff	perspectives of	class, ethnicity,
			specifically aims		from research	perspectives to	offenders,	age) and how
			to take students		studies provided	the macro of	victims and	notions of
			on a critical		via the UK data	international	professionals. In	'difference'
			journey to		service) to	approaches to	doing so the	influence the
			explore the		encourage	imprisonment. It	module	experience that
			tensions		students to	draws on the	encourages	those
			generated by		explore a range	module	students to see	incarcerated
			approaches that		of different	convenor's own	penal policy as a	face. Students
			seek to punish		perspectives on	research and	complex area of	will develop an
			but also		the use of	experience of	decision-making	understanding of
			rehabilitate. The		custody. The	visiting prisons to	that is not simply	how social
			overall aim of the		students will be	bring differing	about	inequalities
			module is for		encouraged to	perspectives on	punishment but	intersect and
			students to		engage with	salient issues	one that is	overlap with one
			ascertain as fuller		social media	such as how to	embedded in a	another and
			a picture as		platforms such as	, , , , ,	historical and	require a multi-
			possible as to		Twitter and	deal with	political rhetoric.	faceted joined up
			ultimately		specialist	different	A key question	penal policy
			whether or not		resources such as	members of the	raised	approach.
			prison works		the Inside Times	population who	throughout the	
			from multiple		prison	face different	module is	
			dimensions		newspaper. The	challenges in the	whether or not	
			which include		students will also	custodial estate,	prison works.	
			political,		be shown	e.g. children,		
			economic and		documentaries.	women and older		
			social			prisoners.		
			perspectives.					

By working on The module is One of the Students learn The seminar and Concerns with Data on (and if applicable, taught in a 3 formative imprisonment predominately workshop inequalities in assessed hour format with assessments will be presented about the way in activities are all prison are at the through) the sessions within the throughout the which custody group focused heart of this divided (not module is for module but is and encourage module. Issues of operates in difference necessarily students to draft specifically the a England and students to related to equally) between a policy response feature of Wales but within debate and a lecture and to a particular workshop a lense of local discuss gender, ethnicity seminar/worksho issue which is activities in week variation and in a significant issues and age are p based apparent in the 3. 4. 5 and 6. wider context of such as whether especially discussion and penal estate, this Students will be the international we should central, and activities. Each could be for exposed to picture. For imprison children disproportionaliti week students example the use different sources example, the and/or women, es in the prison will have two of short term of information final session of the effectiveness system form prison sentences readings to some of which the module of short term their own complete, one The summative they will not raises the prison sentences bespoke session which is assessment for have auestion of and the in week 4 as well theoretical in its the module asks whether conditions within as being of encountered discussion and students in before because ultimately we custody. In some significance another which groups to design they are need prisons sessions, throughout the their own prison. putting forward students are module. Students contrasts in specialist to this the heavily deliberately are asked to perspective. The They are area of interest theoretical case consider the supporting provided with such as the Inside encouraged to auestions will criteria of what Times for penal perspective take, different needs encourage the design must newspaper. The abolition. Within thinking about different groups students to include but are students for their the workshop for how different will have when encouraged to be this session the stakeholders engage with summative group designing their debates around as imaginative poster students will be (media, prison the use of and creative with presentation will tasked with government, (summative prison officers, imprisonment. their choices as have to use comparing assessment); this possible drawing digital resources international inmates) might is particularly on the range of in order to design approaches to all operate important when evidence that and produce the imprisonment prisons they reflect on exists around poster therefore differently. The the healthcare, namely prison design enhancing their contrasting the summative diet and both historical use of supermax assessment is a education and technology. approach in group poster provision their contemporary, America to the presentation prison will have. national and much less where the overall international. punitive task is to design a approach of new prison Scandinavia. where the chief Moreover, the aim is to reduce summative reoffending. The assessment is in students will be two formats of a tasked to work in group poster groups to design presentation and and present a an individual poster which report. Both of covers their

these tasks

prison design,

Stage 3	Global Justice	Progress towards	Understanding	Critically		Undertaking	Engaging in	Learning	Collaborating in
Stage 3	POL00003H	PLO PLO	major competing	reflecting on the		independent	group	communication	seminar tasks
	1 020000311	1 20	accounts of	plausibility of		research in order	collaboration in	skills and	and outside the
			global justice;	existing accounts		to answer	seminars and	presenting	classroom
			understanding	of global justice,		seminar	group	arguments in a	Classicom
			major theoretical	and on their		questions and	presentations	clear and	
			perspectives on	suitability for		complete	presentations	structured way	
			issues such as	application to		formative and		Structured way	
			migration,	issues such as		summative			
			climate change,	climate change,		assessments.			
			secession, and	migration,		assessifierits.			
			population	secession, and					
				· ·					
			explusion .	population					
				explusion .					
		By working on	Lectures; seminar	Lectures; seminar		Seminar	Seminar	Seminar	Seminar
			preparation;	exercises;		exercises;	exercises and	exercises and	exercises and
			seminar	independent		independent	group	presentations	indpendent study
			exercises;	study		study	presentations		with texts
			independent						focused on this
			study						very subject
		Assessed through	Essay	Essay		Essay	n/a	n/a	n/a
			(formative);	(formative);		(formative);			
			Essay	Essay		Essay			
			(summative)	(summative)		(summative)			
Stage 3	British Foreign		Analysing the	To develop		Conducting	Engaging in	Learning	Collaborating in
	Policy After the	PLO	evolution of	students' ability		research on a	group	communication	seminar tasks
	Cold War		British foreign	to apply and		number of policy	collaboration in	skills and	and outside the
	POL00018H		policy since 1990	critically assess		areas using both	seminars	presenting	classroom
			using different	theories of		the secondary		arguments in a	
			concepts and	foreign policy		literature and		clear and	
			theories	through the		primary texts eg		structured way	
				detailed		government			
				examination of a		docuemnts			
				number of					
				empirical cases					
		By working on	Lectures; seminar	'		Seminar	Seminar	Seminar	Seminar
		,	preparation;	exercises;		exercises;	exercises	exercises	exercises
			seminar	independent		independent			
			exercises;	study		study			
			independent	,					
			study						
			Jacuay					1	

		Assessed through	Essay (formative); Essay (summative)	Essay (formative); Essay (summative)			Essay (formative); Essay (summative)	Not formally assessed	Formative and summative work	
Stage 3	Research-led Taught Module (PHIL) 20 Credits Summative Assessment: essay	Progress towards PLO	Students will come to be able to analyse and generate sophisticated logical arguments		Students will develop and demonstrate their ability to make progress and identify solutions even where it's unclear in the first instance how to proceed	Students will come to be able to present a sustained and detailed case for a judgement based on careful weighing of arguments, objections, and responses		Students will develop their ability to amend and enhance their practice as thinkers and learners	and detailed explanations of philosophical problems in clear and accessible terms	Students will hone their abilities to work independently and in collaboration with others
		By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)	By engaging with high-level contemporary debates and developing arguments, objections, and responses		By working on a cutting-edge topic and engaging with a tutor working on an area in which they research	By working to produce a substantial essay seeking to provide an answer to a challenging philosophical question		By critically evaluating philosophical work and reflecting on feedback from seminars and other formative opportunities	By tackling difficult philosophical issues, including some at the forefront of contemporary debate	By pursuing an exploration of a difficult topic, involving work on an extended reading list and regular creative dialogue with their tutor and fellow students in seminar discussions